Accounting for uncertainties in models for water infrastructure systems – A multisectorial view

Watermatex2015

Goldcoast
Australia

14 June 2015

Peter VANROLLEGHEM

Canada Research Chair
in Water Quality Modelling

UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

modelEAU

Introduction

- Workshop on Uncertainty in Water System Models

SPN 6

6th International Conference on Sewer Processes and Networks

7-10 November 2010

Surfers Paradise, Gold Coast, Australia

Introduction

Workshop: Uncertainties in Water System Models

Thursday 11 – Friday 12 November

- Collaborative effort of:
  - IWA/WEF Design and Operations Uncertainty Task Group
  - International Working Group on Data and Models (under the Joint IWA/IAHR Committee of Urban Drainage)

Introduction

Workshop: Uncertainties in Water System Models

Participants

- Ana Deletic
  Monash Univ. (AUS)
- Rebekah Brown
  Monash Univ. (AUS)
- Barry Croke
  Australian Nat. Univ. (AUS)
- John Doherty
  Watermark Num. Comp. (AUS)
- George Kuczera
  Univ. Newcastle (AUS)
- David McCarthy
  Monash Univ. (AUS)
- Peter Vanrolleghem
  Univ. Laval (CAN)
- Zoran Kapelan
  Centre for Water Systems (UK)
- Manfred Kleindorfer
  Univ. Innsbruck (A)
- Jean-Luc Bertrand-Krajewski
  INSA-Lyon (F)
- Peter Steen Mikkelsen
  Technical Univ. Denmark (DK)
- Wolfgang Rauch
  Univ. Innsbruck (A)
- Jens-Christian Refsgaard
  GEUS (DK)

UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

5

modelEAU

Participants

- Ana Deletic
  Urban Drainage
- Rebekah Brown
  Social modelling of water systems
- Barry Croke
  Hydrology
- John Doherty
  Hydrology
- George Kuczera
  Hydrology
- David McCarthy
  Urban Drainage
- Peter Vanrolleghem
  Wastewater
- Zoran Kapelan
  Drinking Water
- Manfred Kleindorfer
  Integrated Wastewater Systems
- Jean-Luc Bertrand-Krajewski
  Urban Drainage
- Peter Steen Mikkelsen
  Urban Drainage
- Wolfgang Rauch
  Integrated Wastewater Systems
- Jens-Christian Refsgaard
  Groundwater

UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

6

modelEAU

Lessons

- Lesson 1

Jens-Christian Refsgaard:

Copenhagen County project on identification of suitable methods for assessing groundwater vulnerability (2000)

Assessments from five consultants on areas vulnerable to nitrate pollution from diffuse sources



UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

Lessons

- Lesson 1

Jens-Christian Refsgaard:

Prediction uncertainty due to

- data interpretations
- model parameter values
- models (process equations)
- problem framing



UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

Lessons

- Lesson 2:
  Peter Steen Mikkelsen:

  Temporal evolution of safety margin in sewer design in Denmark over the last 40 years

Lessons



Lessons

- Exactly the same evolution takes place in WWTP design approaches
  - Simplified design models with
    - Worst case inputs
    - Safety factors
  - Process models with
    - Default parameters and influent characterization
    - Reduced safety factors
  - Process models with
    - Explicit uncertainty consideration

Need for sharing developments

- Major methodological developments take place in hydrology
- Transferable/desired in other water fields

- Many uncertainty-related methods around!
- Too many?
- Meta-guidance by van der Keur et al. (2010) (a guidance on available guidances!) to navigate through the wealth of tools

Agreement 1



van der Keur et al. (2008) Water Res. Man., 24(14), 3901-3938.

Agreement 1



Donald Rumsfeld



As we know, there are known knowns.
There are things we know we know.
We also know there are known unknowns.
That is to say :
We know there are some things we do not know.
But there are also unknown unknowns,
the ones we don't know we don't know.

Agreement 1



Statistical uncertainty
- All possible outcomes known
- All probabilities known

Scenario uncertainty
- Range of outcomes of plausible futures
- No probabilities known

Qualitative uncertainty
- Not all outcomes necessarily known
- Cannot be described statistically

Ignorance
- We are aware that there is something we do not know

Total ignorance
- We do not know that there is something we do not know

Agreement 2

- A draft framework for communicating about uncertainty
  - *"Any departure from the unachievable ideal of complete determinism"*
  - A 3-dimensional concept
    - Type: statistical, scenario
    - Source: inputs, parameters
    - Level: reducible/irreducible



Agreement 3

- Representation of uncertainty in water system models:

$$Y(t) = f(X(t), \Theta \mid S)$$

  - Y: model outputs
  - X: model inputs (forcing functions)
  - f: model structure
  - Θ: parameters
  - S: scenarios that are fully quantifiable

Agreement 4

- Sources of uncertainty can be found in:
  - f: model structure uncertainty
  - X: input uncertainty
  - Θ: parameter uncertainty
  - S: scenarios uncertainty
- <u>Forward modelling problem (Unc. propagation):</u>
  - Uncertainty in Y as result of uncertainty in f, X, Θ, S
- <u>Inverse modelling problem (Unc. assessment):</u>
  - Uncertainty in Θ (and/or f) from residuals (Y – data) for given X and S (with their uncertainties)

Agreement 5

- Forward modelling: a “No-problem”
  - Analytical (linear error propagation: *OK for mildly NL*)
  - Numerical (Monte Carlo)
- Transfer into practice is an issue:
  - Available compute power (Monte Carlo)
  - Training
- Monte Carlo sampling methods:
  - Mature
  - Suitable methods should be selected on case by case basis (e.g. correlations)

Agreement 6

- Inverse modelling: (Assess sources of uncertainty)



- Nothing can be said about total ignorance

Disagreement 1



- Inverse modelling:
- Assessing recognized ignorance
  - Under full development !
- Assessing qualitative uncertainties
  - Social sciences: generate uncertainty profiles from key stakeholders
- Assessing scenario uncertainties:
  - Scenario-building methodologies focusing on relationship societal-biophysical systems

Disagreement 2



- Inverse modelling:
- Assessing statistical uncertainties
  - Many methods available
  - Frequentists (typical water professionals) vs. Bayesians
  - Bayesian approach is probably the way to go
    - Statistical rigour – assumptions made can be scrutinized
    - Integrates all uncertainty sources (incl. observation system)
    - Prior knowledge can be used in a natural way
  - Problems remain:
    - Numerical load for complex models
    - Error models to work with

Agreement 7

- Inverse modelling procedure for statistical unc. assessment:



Agreement 8

- Key challenges:
  1. Assess uncertainties in the absence of data
     - Ungauged systems
     - Future scenarios
  2. Critical importance of data sets (size/content) for inverse modelling
  3. Model structure unc. dominates statistical unc. and we are ill-equipped to actually deal with it
  4. Scenario analysis for exploring recognized ignorance

Agreement 8

- Key challenges:
  5. Reducing predictive uncertainty through better consideration of the different sources of uncertainty
  6. How to best utilise the quantified uncertainty in decision-making
  7. How to help decision-makers deal with qualitative and scenario uncertainty

Take home

- Twelve people from different “water silos” came together at Surfers Paradise in 2010
- The silo-effect is relatively small
  - More agreement (8) than disagreement (2)
- Hence, experiences should be shared
- Uncertainty becomes increasingly explicit
- Considerable challenges remain (7)