Waste Management 101 (2020) 150-160

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Waste Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/wasman

Review

Modelling hydrolysis: Simultaneous versus sequential biodegradation of the hydrolysable fractions



Julie Jimenez^{a,*}, Cyrille Charnier^{a,b}, Mokhles Kouas^a, Eric Latrille^a, Michel Torrijos^a, Jérôme Harmand^a, Dominique Patureau^a, Mathieu Spérandio^c, Eberhard Morgenroth^{d,e}, Fabrice Béline^f, George Ekama^g, Peter A. Vanrolleghem^h, Angel Robles^{a,i}, Aurora Seco^j, Damien J. Batstone^k, Jean-Philippe Steyer^a

^a LBE, Univ Montpellier, INRA, 102 Av des Etangs, Narbonne F-11100, France

^cLISBP, University of Toulouse, CNRS, INRA, INSA, Toulouse, France

^g University of Cape Town, 7700 Cape, South Africa

ⁱ IIAMA, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 València, Spain

^j Departament d'Enginyeria Química, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

^kAdvanced Water Management Centre (AWMC), The University of Queensland, QLD 4072, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 December 2018 Revised 30 July 2019 Accepted 1 October 2019

Keywords: ADM1 Fractionation Hydrolysis Modelling Model selection Organic matter

ABSTRACT

Hydrolysis is considered the limiting step during solid waste anaerobic digestion (including co-digestion of sludge and biosolids). Mechanisms of hydrolysis are mechanistically not well understood with detrimental impact on model predictive capability. The common approach to multiple substrates is to consider simultaneous degradation of the substrates. This may not have the capacity to separate the different kinetics. Sequential degradation of substrates is theoretically supported by microbial capacity and the composite nature of substrates (bioaccessibility concept). However, this has not been experimentally assessed. Sequential chemical fractionation has been successfully used to define inputs for an anaerobic digestion model. In this paper, sequential extractions of organic substrates were evaluated in order to compare both models. By removing each fraction (from the most accessible to the least accessible fraction) from three different substrates, anaerobic incubation tests showed that for physically structured substrates, such as activated sludge and wheat straw, sequential approach could better describe experimental results, while this was less important for homogeneous materials such as pulped fruit. Following this, anaerobic incubation tests where a substrates. Cumulative methane production was modelled by the simultaneous and sequential approaches. Results showed that the sequential model could fit the experimental data for all the substrates whereas simultaneous model did not work for some substrates.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: ADM1, anaerobic digestion model number one; ASM, activated sludge model; BMP, biochemical methane potential (NmL CH₄.gVS⁻¹); BMP 2.0, biochemical methane potential number 2 after acclimation phase (NmL CH₄.gVS⁻¹); DOM, dissolved organic matter; COD, chemical oxygen demand (g O₂.g TS⁻¹); $F_{accessibility_i}$, switching function; f_{xR_c} _Ri, inert fraction of XRC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _ch, carbohydrate fraction of XRC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _pr, protein fraction of XRC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _li, lipid fraction of XRC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _li, aret fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _ch, carbohydrate fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _pr, protein fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _li, lipid fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _k, arbohydrate fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _pr, protein fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _li, lipid fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _k, arbohydrate fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _pr, protein fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _li, lipid fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _h, carbohydrate fraction of XMC (% COD); f_{xR_c} _pr, protein fraction of XME (% COD); f_{xR_c} _h, carbohydrate fraction of XME (% COD); f_{xR_c} , ADM1 default parameters for disintegration of particular COD into carbohydrates (%COD); f_{xR_c} , ADM1 default parameters for disintegration of particular COD into proteins (%COD); f_{xN_c} _ADM1 default parameters for disintegration of particular COD into proteins (%COD); f_{xN_c} _ADM1 default parameters for disintegration of particular COD into inerts (%COD); K_{hyd} _XRc, contois hydrolytic biomass growth rate for XSC hydrolysis (d⁻¹); K_{hyd} _XRC, contois hydrolytic biomass growth rate for XSC hydrolysis (d⁻¹); K_{hyd} _XRC, contois hydrolytis (g COD. m⁻³); NRS, near infra-red spectroscopy; VFA, volatile faction (kg COD. m⁻³); K_{L} _XRc, switching function inhibition parameter for XME hydrolysis (kg COD. m⁻³); NRS, near infra-red spectroscopy; VFA, volatile faction (kg COD. m⁻³); K_{SC} , slowly biodegradab

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: julie.jimenez@inra.fr (J. Jimenez).

^b BIOENTECH Company, F-11100 Narbonne, France

^d ETH Zürich, Institute of Environmental Engineering, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

^e Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

^f IRSTEA UR OPAALE, F-35044 Rennes, France

^h modelEAU, Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada

1. Introduction

In mixed substrate biological conversion, hydrolysis is used as the general depolymerisation of substrates into soluble compounds. It is dominated by the actual process hydrolysis - i.e., depolymerisation into monomers by addition of water molecules (Brock and Madigan, 1991). The process is mediated by enzymes, generally in extracellular reactions. In mixed substrate mathematical models, the hydrolysis process must be adequately described to allow predicting spatial and temporal availability of organic substrates for nutrient removal processes (Morgenroth et al., 2002). Hydrolysis is generally considered the limiting step in biodegradation of particulates and solids substrates (Vavilin et al., 2008). Process modelling kinetics is dominated by the limiting steps and hence the hydrolysis model is critical. According to a review made by Morgenroth et al. (2002), hydrolysis and kinetics in wastewater treatment and excess sludge from wastewater treatment applications are not well understood and first order processes are applied as an aggregate approximation (Eastman and Ferguson, 1981).

Hydrolysis refers to all mechanisms that make slowly biodegradable substrate available for microorganism growth (Gujer et al, 1999). In this latter definition, the key word "available" leads to consider three major concepts: bioaccessibility, bioavailability and biodegradability. Hydrolysis is mainly governed by bioaccessibility (Jimenez et al., 2015a, 2015b). Indeed, due to the complex organisation of some organic residues, bioaccessibility defines the access to the molecules. It can depend on physical structure, process duration and hydrolytic activity. Thus, a fraction can become bioavailable by crossing the membrane of the microorganism mediating the degradation (Semple et al., 2004; Aquino et al., 2008). Ultimately, the biodegradable fraction is the bioavailable organic matter consumed by the biomass.

Different hydrolysis approaches have been applied in aerobic and anaerobic models. In aerobic process models, the hydrolysis concept has been challenged several times by many authors (Sollfrank and Guier, 1991: Guier et al., 1999: Shimizu et al., 1993; Siegrist et al., 1993; Angelidaki et al., 1997; Spérandio and Paul., 2000; Vavilin et al., 2008; Yasui et al., 2008; Mottet et al., 2013; Garcia-Gen et al., 2015) since the well-known developed activated sludge models (ASM) (Henze et al., 1987). However, first order processes have been generally applied due to difficulties in identifying higher order models. Multiple (two) particulate biodegradable fractions have been considered not only according to the physical separation process but also to the biological response of the model in a simultaneous degradation way (Ekama and Marais, 1979; Ekama et al., 1986; Henze et al., 1987; Gujer et al., 1999). In this respect, the associated kinetics was based on a surface-limited equation and one biomass. Ekama and Marais (1979) divided the particulate fraction into two biodegradable fractions: a readily biodegradable fraction mainly consisting of soluble organic matter; and a slowly biodegradable fraction consisting of large molecules, colloids and particles that have to be hydrolysed before degradation. The distinction between these two fractions was also determined by experimental biological response analysis (Ekama et al., 1986; Spérandio and Paul., 2000).

As regards anaerobic process models such as the Anaerobic Digestion Model No. 1 (ADM1, Batstone et al., 2002), one biodegradable fraction was initially considered. Then, this biodegradable fraction is split into biochemical fractions (i.e. carbohydrates, lipids, proteins and inert) after a disintegration process (i.e., a mix of sequential and simultaneous). This approach was not supported by experiments, and was purely conceptual, and has been criticised (Batstone et al., 2015). Other previous studies (see, for instance, Shimizu et al., 1993; Siegrist et al., 1993; Angelidaki et al., 1997) have generally applied first order kinetics.

The common approach in the event of inadequate model performance is: (i) to increase the number of hydrolysable fractions (Sollfrank and Gujer, 1991; Orhon et al., 1998; Spérandio and Paul, 2000; Yasui et al., 2008; Mottet et al., 2013; Garcia-Gen et al., 2015); (ii) to replace the first order kinetics by surface limitation equations (i.e. Contois equation, Vavilin et al., 2008; Mottet et al., 2013), or (iii) to include a particle size distribution model (Dimock et al., 2006; Sanders et al., 2000; Yasui et al., 2008); (iv) to differentiate non-active and active hydrolytic bacteria in particles colonization (Ginestet et al., 2002; Benneouala et al., 2017).

In the studies considering several hydrolysable fractions, some authors considered simultaneous degradation (Sollfrank and Gujer, 1991; Lagarde et al., 2005; Orhon et al., 1998; Mottet et al., 2013; Garcia-Gen et al., 2015; Kouas et al., 2017) and others sequential degradation (Bjerre, 1996; Confer and Logan, 1997; Lagarde et al., 2005; Spérandio and Paul, 2000, Yasui et al., 2008). These approaches are inconsistent mechanistically and in basic kinetic response. A key challenge is to determine experiments to identify the most appropriate approach.

Recently, a promising methodology for organic matter characterisation has been successfully developed to describe the organic matter bioaccessibility and bioavailability of organic residues (Muller et al., 2014; Jimenez et al., 2015a, 2015b). Jimenez et al. (2014) showed that bioaccessibility could be determined for wastewater treatment sludge using sequential extractions to characterize the organic matter accessibility. This fractionation method was subsequently used to determine new input variables of a modified ADM1 model in order to predict biogas performance and digestate quality of an anaerobic digester fed with sludge (Jimenez et al., 2015a).

Since the method is a sequential chemical procedure, it is possible to isolate and consider each fraction separately and to perform biological tests on them to evaluate simultaneous or sequential behaviour.

In this paper, the use of the new fractionation methodology, describing bioaccessibility, was applied on several substrates and their respective fractions in anaerobic incubation tests. The results of the fractionation methodology were used as input variables of an anaerobic digestion model for the treatment of different organic wastes related to the two hypotheses: simultaneous and sequential concepts. Finally, the objective of this paper was to challenge the classical simultaneous concept of multi-substrates hydrolysis experimentally (i) by using the bioaccessibility characterization and anaerobic incubation tests and (ii) by comparing simulation results obtained by simultaneous approach modelling and sequential approach modelling.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Accessibility characterization

The accessibility characterization methodology was based on sequential chemical extractions that can be used as indicators to describe the biochemical molecules of a substrate. Indeed, Jimenez et al. (2015a) showed that each fraction, from the most to the least accessible one, is composed of different kinds of molecules associated to the extraction nature which impact the biodegradability. The characterisation methodology used in this study is detailed in (Jimenez et al., 2014, 2015b) and has been optimised in order to fractionate the substrate within 2 days instead of 5 days. The main optimisation was obtained by pooling the first two extractions, which were biodegraded with same kinetics as shown by (Jimenez et al., 2014).

First, a liquid/solid phase separation was performed by sample centrifugation (18,600 g, 20 min, 4 °C) and the supernatant was filtered at 0.45 μ m. The recovered filtered supernatant fraction was considered as the first fraction named Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM). It was considered as the most available fraction. The fraction retained by the filter is measured, but is not normally considered further, as it represents a negligible quantity of COD. The solid pellet was dried and milled (1 mm) and sequential chemical extractions (30 mL) were performed on 0.5 g of this dried pellet.

Based on Jimenez et al. (2014, 2015a, 2015b), three fractions were considered in this study and were obtained by performing sequential chemical extractions, as follows:

- The readily hydrolysable fraction (X_{RC}) was obtained from supernatant of a saline basic extraction (pellet suspended in 30 mL of 10 mM NaCl and 10 mM NaOH twice) and centrifuged for 15 min, at 30 °C and 300 rpm.
- The moderately hydrolysable fraction (X_{MC}) was obtained from the supernatant of 4 sequential basic extractions (30 mL of 0.1 M NaOH) of the remaining pellet for 1 h, at 30 °C and 300 rpm.
- The slowly hydrolysable fraction (X_{SC}) was obtained from the supernatant of 2 sequential strong acid extractions (25 mL 72% w/v H₂SO₄) of the remaining pellet for 3 h, at 30 °C and 300 rpm.
- The non-extractable fraction (X_{NE}) was obtained by subtraction.

2.2. Analysis on the chemical sequential extractions

The Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) was measured in duplicate using Aqualytic kits (0–1500 mg $O_2.L^{-1}$) on substrates and extracts. Indeed, the analysis of the freeze-dried and milled (1 mm) sample was performed on a solution of 1 g TS L^{-1}).

At each extraction step, the insoluble fraction was recovered, dried and milled at 1 mm. The BMP values of each remaining fraction were obtained using an innovative and rapid FlashBMP[®] method developed by Ondalys (Lesteur et al., 2011). This method is based on Near InfraRed Spectroscopy (NIRS) applied to more than 600 types of wastes (agro-industrial waste, green waste, energy crops, municipal solid waste, sludge and digestates) for which classical BMP tests were performed according to Angelidaki and Sanders (2004). Samples were freeze-dried and milled at 1 mm before NIRS acquisition. Spectra were measured using a BUCHI NIRFlex N-500 (Buchi, Switzerland), with add-on vials. Results are expressed in mL $CH_{4.}gVS^{-1}$. The biodegradability of each fraction can be then obtained by converting the results into in mL $CH_{4.}gCOD^{-1}$ and divided by 350 mL $CH_{4.}gCOD^{-1}$, the theoretical yield (Angelidaki and Sanders, 2004).

Proteins and carbohydrates of each fraction were analysed respectively by the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951) and the Dubois method (Dubois et al., 1956). Lipids were analysed as heptane extractable material by gravimetry. 1 g of freeze-dried and milled sample was extracted with 25 mL of hot and pressurized heptane using an extra-Accelerated Solvent Extractor ASE 200 (Thermo Fisher Scientific[®], Sunnyvale, California 94,085 USA). The extracted solution was collected in a 60 mL glass vial. The heptane was evaporated under a N₂ flow. The quantity of extracted fatty matter was measured once the remaining sample was dried at 105 °C for 2 h.

2.3. Anaerobic incubation tests

In addition to the FlashBMP measurements, two types of anaerobic incubation tests were used in the study: (i) a classical biochemical methane potential (BMP) to assess the maximum anaerobic biodegradability of a substrate, in optimal conditions for a characterization objective, and (ii) a successive batch anaerobic reactor to acclimate the microorganisms and simulate the real digester performances for modelling objective.

• Classical BMP test

Three model substrates of different composition were selected: wheat straw (i.e. lignocellulosic substrate where biodegradable material is protected by an external layer of recalcitrant tissue), apple (carbohydrates substrate) and wastewater treatment sludge from an activated sludge plant (retention time of 20 days). Wastewater treatment sludge was selected to be representative of microorganism compounds, rich in proteins and *exo*-polymeric substrates were fractionated as described by the Jimenez et al. (2015a, 2015b) protocol. At each step of the chemical extraction protocol, the recovered pellet after centrifugation was incubated under anaerobic conditions, with the same substrate COD concentration as described in Jimenez et al. (2014). Three samples were considered:

- the initial substrate (wheat straw, apple or wastewater treatment sludge);
- the pellet recovered after the first extraction (two saline extractions) and after centrifugation;
- the pellet recovered after the first two extractions (two saline extractions and four basic extractions) and after centrifugation.

The experimental conditions were those described by Angelidaki and Sanders (2004) for the biochemical methane potential (BMP) assessment, in 500 mL bottles. The substrate/inoculum ratios were $0.5 \text{ g VS g VS}^{-1}$. These tests were named BMP tests in this study.

• Successive batch tests

Torrijos et al. (2015) developed a new protocol to assess the BMP value. In order to be closer to the real conditions of a continuously fed digester, successive batch tests were conducted to achieve inoculum acclimation in a 6-L lab-scale reactor. Once the methane production kinetics obtained was stable, the microorganisms were considered acclimated to the substrate. A last feed was then added. These final data were used for modelling purposes. The reactor was magnetically stirred. A temperature of 35 °C was maintained in the reactors by a double wall fed with 35 °C water from a water bath. The biogas production was measured on-line by Milligascounter MGC-1 flow meters (Ritter[®] gas meters) with a 4–20 mA output. Gas composition was measured using a Shimadzu GC 8 chromatography associated with a Shimadzu GC 3A integrator. The carrier gas was argon. The organic load of each batch was 1 g VS.L⁻¹ and the substrate/inoculum ratios were 0.08 g VS.g VS⁻¹.

In this study, successive batch tests were obtained from several experiments of anaerobic digestion of the following organic residues: wheat straw, apple, carrot, potatoes, lettuce, cauliflower and wastewater treatment sludge. Eight fed batch tests were operated before reaching the acclimation of the tested substrates. Wastewater treatment sludge kinetics data were provided by the same test but only after 30 days of batch feeding before data collection (Jimenez et al. 2015a data). Four cumulated methane production curves were obtained over four feed cycles to strengthen the model calibration.

2.4. Definition of simultaneous and sequential concepts

Regarding hydrolysis and biodegradability concepts, the bioaccessibility of a molecule needs to be considered. Indeed, according

to Jimenez et al. (2015b), an organic fraction is defined as "bioaccessible" if, at some point, microorganisms have access to it. This depends on several factors, such as process duration, hydrolytic activity of the microorganisms, or the pre-treatments applied. Once bioaccessible, a fraction is biodegradable if it is able to cross the membrane of the microorganism. Semple et al. (2004) defined a minimum size of 10 kDa for molecules to cross the membrane. Therefore, hydrolysis aims at reducing the size of the molecule. Enzymatic potential of the microorganisms and the physical characteristics of the molecule (i.e. size) govern its bioaccessibility. To make a molecule bioavailable, simultaneous versus sequential hydrolysis concepts are two different ways considered in hydrolysis modelling. Fig. 1 gives a schematic overview of these definitions. In the simultaneous concept, all fractions X_{RC} , X_{MC} and the least degradable fractions X_{SC} + X_{NE} are degraded simultaneously. As the most readily degradable fractions are consumed, the overall hydrolvsis rate reduces, and hence the degradation kinetics parameters for each fraction are dominated by the slowest degradable fraction.

Concerning the sequential concept, the most accessible fraction (i.e. X_{RC}) is first degraded. This fraction acts as a protection layer and limits the next accessible fraction (i.e. X_{MC}) degradation. Similarly X_{MC} fraction limits the least accessible fractions (i.e. $X_{SC} + X_{NE}$) degradation. Consequently, during the first period of degradation, X_{RC} is the only fraction consumed, before the degradation of X_{MC} and the degradation of $X_{SC} + X_{NE}$.

2.5. Model implementation

The input variables of the Anaerobic Digestion model n°1 (ADM1, Batstone et al., 2002) were replaced by outputs from the fractionation method, i.e. readily hydrolysable fraction X_{RC} , moderately hydrolysable fraction X_{MC} , slowly hydrolysable fraction X_{SC} and non-extractable fraction X_{NE} . Each fraction contains proteins, lipids and carbohydrates as in ADM1. The fractions are degradable according to the parameters f_{XRC} -xI, f_{XMC} -xI, f_{XSC} -xI, f_{XNE} -xI, where $(1-f_{XI})$ is the biodegradable fraction of each component. The sum of unbiodegradable fraction (i.e. inert in ADM1), carbohydrates, lipids and proteins ratios has to be equal to 1.

Fig. 2 shows a schematic overview of the modified model. ADM1 processes were used as in Batstone et al., (2002). Hydrolysis kinetics was replaced by the Contois (saturation) kinetics model (Vavilin et al., 2008; Mottet et al., 2013), see Eq. (1). The deathregeneration concept was kept but a new variable was introduced as the dead biomass fraction (X_D) which was hydrolysed into proteins, carbohydrates, lipids and inerts using parameters from Batstone et al., (2002) (i.e. f_xpr_xc, f_xch_xc, f_xli_xc and f_xi_xc). Indeed, the dead biomass was regenerated into the substrate fraction X_C in the initial ADM1 model. In the modified model, four particulate COD fractions were considered. The substrates fractions and the regenerated dead biomass were split to avoid confusion in their respective biochemical repartition.



Simultaneous concept

Fig. 1. Schematic definition of simultaneous and sequential concepts. Legend: readily hydrolysable fractions (X_{RC}), moderately hydrolysable (X_{MC}), slowly hydrolysable fractions (X_{SC}) and poorly hydrolysable fractions (X_{NE}).



Fig. 2. Modified ADM1 model proposed, (1) acidogenesis from sugars, (2) acidogenesis from amino acids, (3) acetogenesis from long chain fatty acids, (4) acetogenesis from propionate, (5) acetogenesis from butyrate and valerate, (6) acetoclastic methanogenesis and (7) hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. Schematic overview of the modified anaerobic digestion model based on ADM1.

A "switching" function was introduced in order to simulate sequential hydrolysis which switched off one process while switching on the next (Eqs. (2) to (4)). This function was added to each hydrolysis process by introducing three parameters $K_{L}X_{RC}$, $K_{L}X_{MC}$ and $K_{L}X_{SC}$, as limiting fractions concentrations. In the sequential hydrolysis model, the switching function was below 1. Indeed, it represented a limitation for the next accessible fraction, depending on the $K_{L}X$ parameters values. No limitation occurs in the simultaneous case where switching function parameters values ($K_{L}X_{RC}$, $K_{L}X_{MC}$ and $K_{L}X_{SC}$) were considered much higher than the fractions concentrations values.

Analyzing the switching function led to the following statements:

- If S ≫ K_{hyds}, F_{accessibility} → 0 (strict sequential concept, high limitation level)
- If $S K_{hyd_S}$, $F_{accessibility} \rightarrow 0.5$
- If $S \ll K_{hvd_s}$, $F_{accessibility} \rightarrow 1$ (no limitation)

$$\rho_{i} = K_{kyd} \cdot S_{i} \times \frac{S_{i}/X_{i}}{K_{S_{i}} + S_{i}/X_{i}} \times X_{i} \times F_{accessibility_{i}}$$
(1)

If i = 1, $S = X_{RC}$ and $F_{accessibility} = 1$

If
$$i = 2$$
, $S = XMC$ and $F_{accessibility} = \frac{1}{1 + X_{RC}/K_{L,X_{RC}}}$ (2)

If
$$i = 3$$
, $S = XSC$ and $F_{accessibility} = \frac{1}{1 + X_{MC}/K_{I_x X_{MC}}}$ (3)

If
$$i = 4$$
, $S = XNE$ and $F_{accessibility} = \frac{1}{1 + X_{SC}/K_{LX_{SC}}}$ (4)

where:

S is the concentration of organic matter contained in the fraction considered (kg COD/m^3)

 $K_{hyd} \, S_i$ is the growth rate of hydrolytic bacteria for the fraction $S_i \, (d^{-1})$

 K_S is the half-saturation coefficient of hydrolytic bacteria (-)

 X_i is the hydrolytic biomass of each fraction (kg COD/m³)

 $F_{accessibility}$ is a switching function based on the accessibility degree of the substrate (-)

 K_LS_i is the switching concentration from one fraction to another in the switching function (kg COD/m³).

The biodegradability of each fraction was obtained using the FlashBMP[®] analysis (Lesteur et al., 2011) for the batch tests. In the case of the semi-continuous test with sludge, Jimenez et al. (2015a) data were used. The biodegradable fractions as a percentage were calculated using each fraction mass balance between feedstock fractionation and digestate fractionation. The results allowed the calculation of the biodegradability percentage of each fraction, and thus, the inert percentage (i.e. parameters $f_X_{RC}_XI$, $f_X_{MC}_XI$, $f_X_{SC}_XI$, $f_X_{NE}_XI$).

The initial values of the state variables (i.e. microorganisms' state variables) used in the model were determined by simulating the model under continuous conditions to reach steady-state equilibrium. The steady-state values of the state variables were then used as state variable initial values. This estimation was considered as a non-linear problem. Using the modified ADM1, the hydrolysis parameters were optimised by trial and error to minimize the squared value of the difference between predicted and experimental methane production curves.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fraction biodegradability test: Case studies on apple, wastewater treatment sludge and wheat straw

In order to test a wide range of molecular and accessibility structures, three substrates were chosen: wheat straw (lignocellulose), apple (i.e. carbohydrates) and wastewater sludge (proteins). Indeed, the lignin protection layer from wheat straw, the floc structure from wastewater sludge and the simpler structure of apple's carbohydrates have specific characteristics to investigate the simultaneous and sequential biodegradation concepts. To reach this goal, the removal of X_{RC} and X_{MC} fraction (i.e. protection layers) was proposed to investigate the BMP kinetics of total and residual fractions. As shown by Jimenez et al. (2014), the X_{RC} extractions did not alter the chemical structure of the residual fractions. The substrates fractionations are presented in Fig. 3. The BMP tests results are presented in Fig. 4. Regarding the accessibility characterization, the method was repeatable as suggested by the standard deviations obtained (less than 5% for X_{RC} and X_{MC} and between 3 and 10% for X_{SC}). The results are consistent considering the fruits/vegetables, wheat straw and wastewater treatment sludge nature. Indeed, apple contained mainly accessible fractions (large fraction of X_{RC} , 68% of COD) while wheat straw is mainly composed of poorly accessible fractions (i.e. $X_{SC} + X_{NE} = 73\%$ of COD). The wastewater treatment sludge had intermediate values (X_{RC} = 29% and X_{MC} = 37% of COD). The saline and basic extractions allowed the ionisation of some poorly attached proteins. This extraction was based on sludge exo-polymeric substance extraction and on the flocs structure of activated sludge (Jimenez et al., 2014), which is why wastewater sludge was mainly composed of X_{RC} and X_{MC} fractions (Fig. 3). On the contrary, wheat straw contained more fibers such as celluloses, extracted by acid hydrolysis (X_{SC} fraction). X_{NE} was mainly composed of non-soluble lignin (Jimenez et al., 2015a). However, some lignin can be solubilised under basic conditions (Carrere et al., 2010). Wheat straw's X_{MC} fraction contained alkaline soluble lignin. On the contrary, substrate like apple was mainly composed of X_{RC} fraction, related to soluble sugar and protein. As stated by Jimenez et al (2015b), these results confirmed the ability of the extraction procedure to characterize accessibility and biochemical nature of the substrates.

For each substrate, three BMP tests associated with the biodegradation of the entire substrate, the substrate deprived of X_{RC} fraction, and the substrate deprived of X_{RC} and X_{MC} fractions, after saline and basic extractions respectively were done.

Regarding the cumulated methane production obtained for the apple (Fig. 4a), the biodegradability decreased as the accessibility decreased, similar to the rate of each remaining samples after sequential extractions. Both methane production rate and yield values were higher for the total sample than for total sample without X_{RC} and without X_{RC} and X_{MC} . The methane production rate evolution of each fraction could be obtained by subtraction and the simultaneous concept can be applied.

Concerning the wheat straw (Fig. 4b), as previously mentioned, the X_{RC} fraction was low, thus the biodegradability curves of total substrate and of total substrate minus X_{RC} were very similar. However, when the BMP test was performed on the X_{SC} + X_{NE} fractions only, the rate increased (linear curve slope between 1 and 3 days calculated: 46 mlCH₄.gCOD⁻¹ d⁻¹) compared to the total substrate without X_{RC} (linear curve slope between 1 and 3 days calculated: 25 mlCH₄.gCOD⁻¹ d⁻¹). Finally, the specific methane productions were the same for the three experiments.

Methane production rate curves from the individual fractions X_{RC} and X_{MC} can be calculated according their fractionation percentage of COD in the substrate as explained by Equations (5) and (6).

$$BMP_{(X_{RC})} = BMP_{(X_{RC}+X_{MC}+X_{SC}+X_{NE})} - BMP_{(X_{MC}+X_{SC}+X_{NE})}$$

$$\times \frac{X_{MC} + X_{SC} + X_{NE}}{X_{RC} + X_{MC} + X_{SC} + X_{NE}}$$
(5)

$$BMP_{(X_{MC})} = BMP_{(X_{MC}+X_{SC}+X_{NE})} \times \frac{X_{MC} + X_{SC} + X_{NE}}{X_{RC} + X_{MC} + X_{SC} + X_{NE}} - BMP_{(X_{SC}+X_{NE})} \times \frac{X_{SC} + X_{NE}}{X_{RC} + X_{MC} + X_{SC} + X_{NE}}$$
(6)

where BMP (X) is the cumulative methane production of the X fraction (NmL CH₄) and X_{RC}, X_{MC}, X_{SC}, X_{NE} the COD concentration of each fraction (kg COD m⁻³)

Fig. 5a shows the results obtained after applying Eqs. (5) and (6) on the wheat straw methane production cumulated curves. The simultaneous hypothesis requires that all the fractions are



Fig. 3. COD fractionation of the studied substrates.



Fig. 4. Anaerobic biodegradation of the apple (a), the wheat straw (b) and the wastewater treatment sludge fractions (c).

hydrolysed at the same time (as shown by the Fig. 1). In this hypothesis, methane production rate curve associated to X_{MC} was calculated and negative values were obtained (Fig. 5a), proving that simultaneous hypothesis did not fit. If positive X_{MC} methane production rate curve is to be obtained, another approach could be to assume that a fraction n is not hydrolysed until the fraction n - 1 reaches low concentrations. This second scenario was simulated using sequential modelling approach and with the switching function previously described (Fig. 5b). In the case of the sequential hypothesis, X_{MC} is always positive. Therefore, the proposed switching functions (Eqs. (2) and (3)) have to be used for modelling the hydrolysis of each fraction when applying this hypothesis.

Overall, the sequential approach is applicable for the three substrates biodegradation. Indeed, the composition and structural accessibility feature of wheat straw and sewage sludge seemed to reveal the sequential concept. Wheat straw and sewage sludge have different physical accessibility structures. Regarding wheat straw, a compact layer of wax covered the outside of the straw, which protects the straw from insects and microorganisms. At the boundary of the primary and second walls a network structure appeared, made of cellulose and hemicellulose, with some lignin localised on the surface of the network as observed by atomic force microscopy (Yan et al., 2004). Thus, the wheat straw has a lignin and wax layer which makes not accessible a part of cellulose and hemicellulose and the sewage sludge contains exocellularpolymeric substances which were probably extracted and made accessible by alkali extraction.

Moreover, the alkaline X_{MC} extraction step acts as a pretreatment for both substrates. It allows the partial solubilisation of recalcitrant material wheat straw. Plant stems have a recalcitrant shell which protects the degradable interior. Alkali treatments induce depolymerisation and cleavage of lignincarbohydrates linkages (Zhen et al., 2017). In the case of wheat straw, the wax layer protects another layer containing cellulose and pectin (pectin is water soluble). The X_{MC} extraction removes the wax layer and allows a quicker biodegradation of the X_{SC} fraction (i.e. hemicellulose and cellulose).

This means that the poor accessibility of X_{SC} limits hydrolysis despite the high biodegradable potential of X_{SC} which is consistent with the sequential concept. Similar results were obtained by Rincker et al. (2013) after pre-treatments applied on lignocellulose-like substrates. According to the authors, the lag phase could correspond to a colonisation process. This colonisation phase was also observed for cellulosic fibres with low lignin content (toilet paper) found in primary sludge (Ginestet et al., 2002). In the case of the apple, this phenomenon is not occurring because the fruit was pulped before feeding the reactor and physical structure is lost in the crushed apple.

Regarding the sewage sludge (Fig. 4c), similar results were obtained as wheat straw. Biological sludge is organized in flocs with cells coated with *exo*-polymeric substances. This three-dimensional gel-like biopolymer provides protective shielding and prevents cell rupture and lysis influencing flocculation and dewaterability. The cell membranes are also composed of glycan strands crosslinked by peptides acting as barriers to anaerobic digestion (Zhen et al., 2017). After X_{MC} removal, the flocs were disrupted. Sequential hypothesis fits better than simultaneous hypothesis (i.e. negative results obtained, as for wheat straw).

The methane production rate slowed down at day 6 (Fig. 4) before increasing at day 12. Yasui et al. (2008), Mottet et al. (2013) and Jimenez et al. (2014) also observed such a deceleration phenomenon between readily and slowly biodegraded fractions of organic matter from primary and biological sludge. As no inhibition phenomenon was noticed, the authors proposed to use this observation to assess both readily and slowly biodegradable fractions.

These results showed that sequential biodegradation concept could be revealed in cases where the accessibility was limited like wheat straw and biological sludge. In those cases, a part of X_{MC} fraction has to be degraded before to have access to the X_{SC} fraction. However, some aspects have to be investigated such as the impact of the chemical extraction procedure on the molecular structure of X_{SC}. Even if the lignin barrier of the wheat straw was solubilised by the alkaline extraction, one issue not solved was about the initial molecular structure of X_{SC} alteration by alkaline extraction. Jimenez et al. (2014) compared the methane production rate curves obtained with whole wastewater treatment sludge and with the sludge after saline + alkaline extraction (10 mM). The results showed that the methane production rate curve of the remained pellet overlaid the least biodegradable fraction observed for the whole substrate. This means that the X_{RC} extraction seemed not to alter the X_{SC} fraction degradation kinetics. However, despite the fact that alkaline condition targets lignin whereas acid condition targets holocelluloses, no similar test has been performed after stronger alkaline extraction.

Simultaneous Sequential 180 XRC XRC 160 140 Cumulated methane production 180 Cumulated methane production (ml CH4) 120 160 100 140 80 120 (ml CH₄) 60 100 40 80 20 60 0 40 -20 20 30 40 20 -40 0 -60 Time (days) 0 10 20 30 40 Time (days) (a) (b)

Fig. 5. Fraction kinetics calculation based on experimental data using simultaneous concept (a) and fraction kinetic simulation based on sequential concept model (b) of the digestion of wheat.

In the apple case, the X_{SC} biodegradation kinetics was below than those of X_{MC} and X_{RC} . It seems that there was no structural accessibility limitation as for wheat straw. Thus, both sequential and simultaneous concepts fit.

Based on these results, other substrates were characterized in terms of sequential chemical extraction and anaerobic incubation tests to test the two hypotheses (i.e. simultaneous versus sequential) by comparing the two associated modified models.

3.2. Results obtained on several substrates

Five substrates were tested with the successive batch test method. They represent a large range of biochemical characteristics as shown by the measured parameters and variables in Table 1. Results of biogas production measured during these batch tests are summarised in Fig. 6. Simulations obtained with the simultaneous (i.e. the switching function equal to 1 in the model) and sequential models are also presented in Fig. 6. Table 1 presents the measured parameters and variables used in the models and the calibration

data parameters, all others parameters of ADM1 being equal to their standard values from Batstone et al. (2002). Fractions and stoichiometric parameters were measured as described in material and methods. The hydrolytic biomass growth rate from sequential and simultaneous kinetics and the switching function parameter value were calibrated using the cumulated methane production rate by trial and error methodology. Table 2 presents the simulated methane production rate vs experimental data errors. The sum of squared errors J can be used as a criterion (Dochain et al., 2001) to calibrate the model and estimate the prediction model quality.

From the results obtained, the J values were always lower in the sequential model in comparison with the simultaneous model for the 5 substrates considered. During calibration step of methane production rate, the lowest errors were obtained with switching function parameter $K_{L-XRC \text{ or } XMC}$ values equal to 0.05 g m⁻³ except for carrot (0.01 g m⁻³). These values were low compared to the substrates fractions concentrations meaning that the sequential approach limitation was high (i.e. switching function low).

Table 1

Calibration parameters of simultaneous and sequential model for the five substrates.

				Carrot	Cauliflower	Lettuce	Wheat straw	Potato	Wastewater treatment sludge
Measured variables and parameters	COD per fra	ction (kgDCO.m ⁻³)	X _{RC}	0.59	0.47	0.63	0.51	0.33	0.29
			X _{MC}	0.21	0.21	0.08	0.56	0.11	0.37
			X _{SC}	0.25	0.33	0.11	1.26	0.90	0.26
			X _{NE}	0.16	0.32	0.40	1.61	0.00	0.08
	Fractions co	ontent into inert,	f_XRC_xI	0.00	0.20	0.24	0.51	0.00	0.21
	proteins, lip	oids and	f_XRC_ch	0.73	0.55	0.37	0.02	0.77	0.19
	carbohydra	tes (%COD)	f_XRC_pr	0.05	0.19	0.09	0.04	0.23	0.46
			f_XRC_li	0.22	0.01	0.18	0.43	0.00	0.14
			f_XMC_xI	0.21	0.70	0.66	0.80	0.19	0.65
			f_XMC_ch	0.31	0.16	0.03	0.06	0.19	0.08
			f_XMC_pr	0.05	0.13	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.20
			f_XMC_li	0.43	0.01	0.28	0.12	0.62	0.07
			f_XSC,NE_xI	0.43	0.18	0.42	0.46	0.03	0.80
			f_XSC,NE_ch	0.33	0.52	0.17	0.40	0.79	0.18
			f_XSC,NE_pr	0.01	0.14	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02
			f_XSC,NE_li	0.23	0.16	0.35	0.13	0.17	0
Calibrated parameters	Experiment	al data used		BMP 2.0	BMP 2.0	BMP 2.0	IP 2.0 BMP 2.0	BMP 2.0	Fed batch reactor
	Sequential	Switch (kg.COD.m ⁻³)	Kı	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
			Khyd_XRC	3.50	4.00	4.00	10.00	5.00	11
		Kinetics (d^{-1})	Khyd_XMC	2.50	2.00	1.00	3.50	1.00	9
			Khyd_XSC	2.50	1.00	9.00	3.50	1.50	9
			Khyd_XNE	0.50	0.50	7.00	0.80	0.50	9
	Simultaneous kinetics (d^{-1})		Khyd_XRC	2.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	2.00	9
			Khyd_XMC	1.67	2.00	2.00	0.50	1.00	6
			Khyd_XSC	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.75	6
			Khyd_XNE	0.33	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.25	6



Fig. 6. Cumulated methane production curves obtained experimentally (black dot line) and by simulations with the simultaneous model (red dashed lines) and with the sequential model (black line) (a: carrot, b: cauliflower, c: lettuce, d: wheat straw, e: potato and f: wastewater treatment sludge). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 2

Estimation of the quality of each model by the sum of squared errors.

	Ν	J simultaneous model	J sequential model
Carrot	5219	58	15
Potato	5001	93	75
Cauliflower	4999	124	23
Lettuce	5219	78	35
Wheat Straw	5000	182	97
Wastewater treatment sludge	145	955	309

J is the sum of squared errors and N the number of data points to fit.

Concerning the potato biodegradation (Fig. 6e), both models did not perfectly fit with the experimental behaviour. However, the sequential model gave less error than the simultaneous model. Consequently, the use of the sequential concept for all substrates would be applied to all the substrates to reach a better fit of all methane production rate curves.

3.3. Potentials and limitations of the sequential approach

The sequential chemical extraction methodology was successfully used to simulate bioaccessibility in this study as in previous studies (Jimenez et al., 2014; Jimenez et al., 2015a; 2015b). Jimenez et al. (2014) used the fractionation combined with 3D fluorescence spectroscopy to predict readily and slowly hydrolysable fractions of wastewater treatment sludge. Indeed, the authors showed that the first extractions were associated to the readily hydrolysable fractions whereas the poorly extractible fractions were associated to the slowly hydrolysable fraction. Spectroscopy was used to describe the complexity of each fraction in terms of non-biodegradable molecules. To go further on organic matter biodegradation modelling, this study used the sequential aspect of the protocol to challenge the simultaneous hydrolysis concept and to propose an alternative. The biodegradability study on three substrates after each extraction step revealed that (i) the decrease of accessibility led to a decrease of biodegradability and (ii) the alkaline extraction of two substrates led to an increase of the remaining fraction. Indeed, this extraction can act as a pretreatment (Carrere et al., 2010). It can solubilise bounded proteins, lipids and lignin. Thus, the protection layer of the wheat straw made of wax and lignin could be solubilised and the flocs from wastewater treatment sludge could be disrupted. According to these results, we hypothesized that the protection structure of both substrates led to reveal the sequential approach. Indeed, X_{SC} evolution kinetics was then calculated. Negative values were obtained showing that simultaneous approach could not fit the data. However, the impact of chemical extraction on the molecular structure of the remaining fraction was not evaluated.

Moreover, the chemical extraction procedure applied seemed to not alter the bioaccessibility of X_{MC} components after the first alkaline extraction as shown (Jimenez et al., 2014). However, this statement was not proven for X_{SC} and X_{NE} fractions. After strong alkaline and acid extractions, the molecular structure could indeed be altered, affecting the sequential model parameters. This issue could be a limitation of the use of this technique to represent the reality and should be deeply investigated.

More generally, the introduced switching function decreased the errors between experimental and simulated data on methane production curves for all the studied substrates. However, this function consists on a limitation concept that relies on a specific concentration of the considered variable. When the concentration is above the calibrated $K_{I_x}X$ parameter value, sequential degradation occurs and only the first accessible fraction is degraded. Then, the value becomes equal to or below the calibrated $K_{I_x}X$ parameter value. In this case, the next accessible fraction begins to be degraded and the model leads to a simultaneous degradation model. Does this mean that hydrolysis is a mixture of sequential and simultaneous processes as suggested by Morgenroth et al. (2002)? Clearly more in-depth research is required to answer this.

4. Conclusions

The objective of this paper was to use an organic matter characterization method based on accessibility assessment to compare two hydrolysis modelling concepts: simultaneous versus sequential degradation. This comparison revealed that the sequential hydrolysis concept is applicable to all the substrates studied (protein-like and carbohydrates to fibrous-like substrates). The simultaneous model scenario did not fit to all the experimental curves of methane production as highlighted by the study of wastewater treatment sludge and wheat straw biodegradation. However, some issues about the experimental fractionation methodology and its impact on fraction biodegradation kinetics, and on calibrated model parameters values have been raised. Further investigation on this topic should be done to validate the proposed model.

References

- Angelidaki, I., Sanders, W., 2004. Assessment of the anaerobic biodegradability of macropollutants. Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol. 3, 117–129.
- Angelidaki, I., Ahring, B., 1997. Anaerobic digestion in Denmark. Past, present and future. In: III Curs d'Enginyeria Ambiental. Lleida, pp. 336–342.
- Aquino, S.F., Chernicharo, C.A.L., Soares, H., Takemoto, S.Y., Vazoller, R.F., 2008. Methodologies for determining the bioavailability and biodegradability of sludges. Environ. Technol. 29 (8), 855–862.
- Batstone, D. J., Keller, J., Angelidaki, I., Kalyuzhnyi, S. V., Pavlostathis, S. G., Rozzi, A., Sanders, W. T. M., Siegrist, H., and Vavilin, V. A., 2002. Anaerobic Digestion Model No.1. (ADM1). IWA Scientific and Technical Report No. 13. IWA, ISBN:1-900222-78-7.
- Batstone, D.J., Puyol, D., Flores-Alsina, X., Rodríguez, J., 2015. Mathematical modelling of anaerobic digestion processes: applications and future needs. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 14, 595–613.
- Benneouala, M., Bareha, Y., Mengelle, M., Bounouba, M., Sperandio, M., Bessiere, Y., Paul, E., 2017. Hydrolysis of particulate settleable solids (PSS) in activated sludge is determined by the bacteria initially adsorbed in the sewage. Water Res. 125, 400–409.
- Bjerre, H.L., 1996. Transformation of wastewater in an open sewer: The Emscher River, Germany. Ph.D. dissertation, Aalborg University, Denmark.
- Carrère, H., Dumas, C., Battimelli, A., Batstone, D.J., Delgenès, J.P., Steyer, J.P., Ferrer, I., 2010. Pretreatment methods to improve sludge anaerobic degradability: a review. J Hazard Mater 183 (1-3), 1–15.
- Brock, T.D., Madigan, M.T., 1991. Biology of Microorganisms. Prentice Hall, New Jersev.
- Confer, D.R., Logan, B.E., 1997. Molecular weight distribution of hydrolysis products during biodegradation of model macromolecules in suspended and biofilm cultures. 1. Bovine serum albumin. Water Res. 31, 2127–2136.
- Dimock, R., Morgenroth, E., 2006. The influence of particle size on microbial hydrolysis of protein particles in activated sludge. Water Res. 40, 2064–2074.
- Dochain, D., Vanrolleghem, P.A., 2001. Dynamical Modelling and Estimation in Wastewater Treatment Processes. IWA Publishing, London, UK.

- Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28 (3), 350–356.
- Eastman, J.A., Ferguson, J.F., 1981. Solubilization of particulate organic carbon during the acid phase of anaerobic digestion. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 53, 352–366.
- Ekama, G.A., Marais, G.v.R., 1979. Dynamic behaviour of the activated sludge process. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 51, 534–556.
- Ekama, G.A., Dold, P.L., Marais, G.v.R., 1986. Procedures for determining influent COD fractions and the maximum specific growth rate of heterotrophs in activated sludge systems. Water Sci. Technol. 18 (6), 91–114.
- Garcia-Gen, S., Sousbie, P., Rangaraj, G., Lema, J.M., Rodriguez, J., Steyer, J.-P., Torrijos, M., 2015. Kinetic modelling of anaerobic hydrolysis of solid wastes, including disintegration processes. Waste Manage. 35, 96–104.
- Ginestet, P., Maisonnier, A., Sperandio, M., 2002. Wastewater COD characterization: biodegradability of physico-chemical fractions. Water Sci. Technol. 45 (6), 89– 97.
- Gujer, W., Henze, M., Mino, T., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 1999. Activated sludge model no. 3. Water Sci. Technol. 39 (1), 183–193.
- Henze, M., Grady, C.P.L., Gujer, W., Marais, G.v.R. and Matsuo, T., 1987. Activated Sludge Model No. 1. IAWPRC Scientific and Technical Reports, No.1. IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Jimenez, J., Gonidec, E., Cacho Rivero, J.A., Latrille, E., Vedrenne, F., Steyer, J.-P., 2014. Prediction of anaerobic biodegradability and bioaccessibility of municipal sludge by coupling sequential extractions with fluorescence spectroscopy: towards ADM1 variables characterization. Water Res. 50, 359–372.
- Jimenez, J., Espinoza Cavajal, C., Aemig, Q., Houot, S., Steyer, J.-P., Vedrenne, F., Patureau, D. 2015a. Organic matter characterization: towards a unified methodology for biological treatments modelling. Presented at 14. World Congress on Anaerobic Digestion (AD14), Viña del Mar, CHL (2015-11-15 -2015-11-18).
- Jimenez, J., Aemig, Q., Doussiet, N., Steyer, J.-P., Houot, S., Patureau, D., 2015b. A new organic matter fractionation methodology for organic wastes: bioaccessibility and complexity characterization for treatment optimization. Bioresour. Technol. 194, 344–353.
- Lagarde, F., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H., Lessard, P., Heduit, A., Dutrop, F., Mouchel, J. M., 2005. Variability estimation of urban wastewater biodegradable fractions by respirometry. Water Res. 39, 4768–4778.
- Kouas, M., Torrijos, M., Sousbie, P., Steyer, J.P., Sayadi, S., Harmand, J., 2017. Robust assessment of both biochemical methane potential and degradation kinetics of solid residues in successive batches. Waste Manage. 70, 59–70.
- Lesteur, M., Latrille, E., Maurel, V.B., Roger, J.M., Gonzalez, C., Junqua, G., Steyer, J.P., 2011. First step towards a fast analytical method for the determination of biochemical methane potential of solid wastes by near infrared spectroscopy. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 2280–2288.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J., 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193 (1), 265–275.
- Morgenroth, E., Kommedal, R., Harremoes, P., 2002. Processes and modeling of hydrolysis of particulate organic matter in aerobic wastewater treatment—a review. Water Sci. Technol. 45 (6), 25–40.
- Mottet, A., Ramirez, I., Carrère, H., Déléris, S., Vedrenne, F., Jimenez, J., Steyer, J.P., 2013. New fractionation for a better bioaccessibility description of particulate organic matter in a modified ADM1 model. Chem. Eng. J. 228, 871–881.
- Muller, M., Jimenez, J., Antonini, M., Dudal, Y., Latrille, E., Vedrenne, F., Steyer, J.P., Patureau, D., 2014. Combining chemical sequential extractions with 3D fluorescence spectroscopy to characterize sludge organic matter. Waste Manage. 34, 2572–2580.
- Orhon, D., Cokgor, E.U., Sozen, S., 1998. Dual hydrolysis model of the slowly biodegradable substrate in activated sludge systems. Biotechnol. Tech. 12, 737– 741.
- Rincker, M.N., Diara, A., Peu, P., Badalato, N., Girault, R., Carrère, H., Bassard, D., Pauss, A., Ribeiro, T., Béline, F., 2013. Anaerobic respirometry as a tool to evaluate the effect of pretreatment on anaerobic digestion efficiency. 13th world Congress on Anaerobic Digestion, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
- Sanders, W.T.M., Geerink, M., Zeeman, G., Lettinga, G., 2000. Anaerobic hydrolysis kinetics of particulate substrates. Water Sci. Technol. 41 (3), 17–24.
- Semple, K.T., Doick, K.J., Jones, K.C., Burauel, P., Craven, A., Harms, H., 2004. Defining bioavailability and bioaccessibility of contaminated soil and sediment is complicated. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 228A–231A.
- Shimizu, T., Kudo, K., Yoshikazu, N., 1993. Anaerobic waste-activated sludge digestion-a bioconversion mechanism and kinetic model. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 41, 1082–1091.
- Siegrist, H., Renggli, D., Gujer, W., 1993. Mathematical modelling of anaerobic mesophilic sewage sludge treatment. Water Sci. Technol. 27 (2), 25–26.
- Sollfrank, U., Gujer, W., 1991. Characterization of domestic wastewater for mathematical modeling of the activated sludge process. Water Sci. Technol. 23 (4–6), 1057–1066.
- Spérandio, M., Paul, E., 2000. Estimation of wastewater biodegradable COD fractions by combining respirometric experiments in various So/Xo ratios. Water Res. 34, 1233–1246.
- Torrijos, M., 2015. Assessment of BMP and kinetics in 6L-batch reactors with successive feedings. Presented at Workshop on the Conundrum of Biomethane Potential Tests, Leysin, CHE (2015-06-10 - 2015-06-12).
- Vavilin, V.A., Fernandez, B., Palatsi, J., Flotats, X., 2008. Hydrolysis kinetics in anaerobic degradation of particulate organic material: an overview. Waste Manage. 28, 939–951.

Yan, L., Li, W., Yang, J., Zhu, Q., 2004. Direct visualization of straw cell walls by AFM. Macromol. Biosci. 4, 112–118.
Yasui, H., Goel, R., Li, Y.-Y., Noike, T., 2008. Modified ADM1 structure for modelling municipal primary sludge hydrolysis. Water Res. 42, 249–259.

Zhen, G., Lu, X., Kato, H., Zhao, Y., Li, Y.-Y., 2017. Overview of pretreatment strategies for enhancing sewage sludge disintegration and subsequent anaerobic digestion: current advances, full-scale application and future perspectives. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 69, 559–577.